

RIC	General Comments
CNYRIC	Since the scantools application applies the validity rules to the scanning process and changes the codes if any validity rule changes (e.g. Medically excused,) it is unnecessary to continue to pocket some of the sheets that have been pocketing for years.
EduTech	
ESuffolk	
GSTRIC	
LHRIC	I know this was somewhat discussed at the meeting we had but it would save everyone a lot of time if the initial create new scan job process was eliminated or shortened. there are too many steps involved to create a new scan job and people make mistakes when they are scanning all day long. and i would say do what we do with the archdiocese schools and make a multi file by putting in 0000 instead of a district code but we have seen public school sheets come through with different district ID's on them by accident when an out of district school does not have home district sheets and they just use their own but do not cross out the code.
MHRIC	
MORIC	
NASSAU	
NERIC	Our major concern is regarding the number of pocketed sheets. We would like the flexibility to only to pocket certain codes and errors. So for example, we do not want to pocket refusals or any valid code. After reading the survey, I am concerned that I am interpreting the questions correctly, hence my comments after certain questions. Please contact me if there is an issue with my interpretation.
SCRIC	
Syracuse	

RIC	RNT 99	RNT 96	RNT 97	RNT 95	RNT 93	RNT 50	RNT 51	RNT 52	RNT 53	Scribe RC	Comments
GSTRIC	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Always	
LHRIC	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Always	i think this was discussed as well to have an on / off switch for the reason codes so if someone does determine that they want or don't want something to pocket they can change their mind pretty easily. as long as it still prints the RC code on the sheet it should be fine. Do you know if it will still place the "1" in the scan file do denote a pocket or whatever that "1" means?
MORIC	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Never	
NERIC	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Never	If there is a valid reason not tested, we do not want the sheets to be pocketed. If there are no answers on the answer sheet, it should not pocket.
NASSAU	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Never	I would like a 96 to be pocketed only if other responses are bubbled in. They should be pocketed for missing CR's. I would like to make sure that the 96 does not override the missing responses. Can a new error code be created for this situation?
SCRIC	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Never	
CNYRIC	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Always	In light of all the Refusals, it is unnecessary to pocket all these sheets, especially since we go through all the pocketed sheets, which is too time consuming. There is also no need to pocket the Absent, Medically Excused, NYSAA, 1st yr LEP, Sci8 in Grade 7 or Regents RNT coded sheets. We can't and don't do anything with these sheets, they are processed as is.
MHRIC	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Always	If an answer sheet is pocketed, please print NT and RNT code# and create a record. If an answer sheet is not pocketed, please print NT and RC and create a record.
ESuffolk	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Always	
EduTech	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Always	
Syracuse	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Pocket Only	
											<b><i>This change will NOT affect the rule that causes a sheet to pocket with a scribe error of 'RC', when a Reason Not Tested code (other than 97 or 93) and at least one item response are both bubbled in.</i></b>

RIC	Create	Pocket	<u>Blank Sheet Rules</u> Comments
LHRIC	Yes	No	a completely blank page 1 you might want to pocket as it should have some reason but not the PG 3's since yes they should have a pg 1 with a reason code.
CNYRIC	Yes	Yes	If a record is created and imported into the editing software, it is easier to edit the record either by adding an RNT code or absent for some parts. There could be a situation where the student refused part one but was then absent for the rest of the assessment. Pocketing the sheet and creating a record is a good idea.
EduTech	Yes	Yes	
ESuffolk	Yes	Yes	
GSTRIC	Yes	Yes	
MHRIC	Yes	Yes	
MORIC	Yes	Yes	We are not clear on this question. So, if we say yes to both, will it pocket ALL the blank sheets (including page 3 & 5)? We DO NOT want it to pocket pages 3 & 5 if blank, only page 1 if blank. I know this has to do with PCNT21, but does the 2nd sheet need to be required when the 1st sheet has an RC bubbled? If it isn't, then we would not need a record written for the "blank" 2nd sheets. Would you be able to program it so it would write a record and pocket the "blank" 1st sheet.
NASSAU	Yes	Yes	
NERIC	Yes	Yes	
SCRIC	Yes	Yes	
Syracuse	Yes	Yes	
			<p><b><i>This topic only covers the following situation – an answer sheet has a preprinted heading (District, Student ID, Check Digit, etc.) or Bar Code, no item responses and no RNT codes or Absence bubbles are filled in. Currently these sheets are scribed with 'NT', pocketed and have a record created for them.</i></b></p>

RIC	Pocket	<a href="#">Blank CR Item Rules</a> <b>Comments</b>
CNYRIC	Yes	Since we always email districts to let them know there are blank bubbles on the teacher scored portion of the test, these still need to pocket so that we are aware of the issue right from the beginning. If we could, however, NOT pocket the sheets that have blank bubbles on the part where the student are allowed to omit certain questions on the NYSESLAT, that would be great. This way we wouldn't have to check each one.
EduTech	Yes	
ESuffolk	Yes	Yes!! We use this method to identify missing score bubbles.
GSTRIC	Yes	
LHRIC	Yes	obviously they should not pocket if the ignore CR box is checked when scanning.
MHRIC	Yes	
MORIC	Yes	
NASSAU	Yes	
NERIC	Yes	
SCRIC	Yes	
Syracuse	Yes	
		<b><i>This change concerns the situation when one or more Constructed Response items are blank and no RNT codes or Absence bubbles are filled in. Currently these sheets are scribed with 'NT' and pocket.</i></b>

RIC	Pocket	<u>Book Section is all A's</u> Comments
CNYRIC	No	No Response code of A is a valid response...no need to pocket these. If all are filled in, then they will go as is and we wouldn't be calling the district in this case.
EduTech	No	
GSTRIC	No	
LHRIC	No	
MORIC	No	
NASSAU	No	
SCRIC	No	We want to hear anyone's reasoning as to why these should be pocketed.
ESuffolk	Yes	
MHRIC	Yes	
NERIC	Yes	
Syracuse	Yes	
		<b><i>This change concerns when one or more entire Constructed Response Books have every item bubbled as No Response ('A'). Currently these sheets are scribed with 'NA' and pocket.</i></b>

RIC	Pocket	<a href="#">Absence Rules</a> Comments
EduTech	No	
GSTRIC	No	
LHRIC	No	keep the code but pocket.
MORIC	No	
NASSAU	No	
NERIC	No	If a student is absent and has no item responses, it should not be pocketed. It is a valid reason.
SCRIC	No	Similar to 4, we welcome discussion why someone would want these pocketed.
CNYRIC	Yes	We definitely need answers from the district in this situation. We need them pocketed.
ESuffolk	Yes	
MHRIC	Yes	
Syracuse	Yes	
		<b><i>This modification will NOT change the rule that causes a sheet to pocket with a scribe error of 'ABS', when an Absence bubble and at least one item response are both bubbled in for a section.</i></b>